

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834

COPY MAILED SEP 1 1 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Luigi Di Gregorio
Application No. 10/618,564

Filed: July 10, 2003
Attorney Docket No : 021111-

Attorney Docket No.: 021111-000800US

Title: HIGH SPEED DATA ACCESS

MEMORY ARRAYS

DECISION ON PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.181

This is a decision on the petition filed on June 19, 2006, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.181, requesting that the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application be withdrawn.

BACKGROUND

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the Notice of Missing Parts (notice), mailed October 9, 2003, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of two months. No response was received, and no extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. \$1.136(a) were requested. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on December 10, 2003. A notice of abandonment was mailed on April 19, 2006.

RELEVANT PORTION OF THE C.F.R.

37 C.F.R. \$1.8(b) sets forth, in toto:

(b) In the event that correspondence is considered timely filed by being mailed or transmitted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, but not received in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office after a reasonable amount of time has elapsed from the time of mailing or transmitting of the correspondence, or after the application is held to be abandoned, or after the

proceeding is dismissed, terminated, or decided with prejudice, the correspondence will be considered timely if the party who forwarded such correspondence:

- (1) Informs the Office of the previous mailing or transmission of the correspondence promptly after becoming aware that the Office has no evidence of receipt of the correspondence;
- (2) Supplies an additional copy of the previously mailed or transmitted correspondence and certificate; and
- (3) Includes a statement which attests on a personal knowledge basis or to the satisfaction of the Director to the previous timely mailing or transmission. If the correspondence was sent by facsimile transmission, a copy of the sending unit's report confirming transmission may be used to support this statement.

ANALYSIS

With the present petition, Petitioner has asserted that a response was filed on November 4, 2003. Petitioner has submitted a copy of this submission, and it is noted that it contains a certificate of facsimile transmission dated November 4, 2003.

Certificate of facsimile transmission practice provides a mechanism by which Applicants may evince that a paper was timely transmitted to the Office, in the event that the correspondence is not received.

Petitioner's submission has been reviewed: with the present petition, Petitioner has informed the Office of the previous facsimile transmission, provided an additional copy of the previously transmitted correspondence, and has included a statement which attests on a personal knowledge basis or to the satisfaction of the Director to the previous timely transmission.

Petitioner has requested that the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application be withdrawn. It is clear that a response was timely submitted. Considering the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue, as set forth on petition, it is concluded that petitioner has met his burden of establishing that a submission was timely submitted.

Accordingly, the petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a) is GRANTED. The holding of abandonment is WITHDRAWN.

The Office of Initial Patent Examination will be notified of this decision.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225¹. All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Paul Shanoski Senior Attorney

Office of Petitions

United States Patent and Trademark Office

¹ Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. $\S1.2$. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's further action(s).